

FORM-PTO-1390  
(Rev. 10-96)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE\*

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES  
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)  
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371**

032292-016

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 C.F.R. 1.5)

Unassigned

**09/530994**INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.  
PCT/NO98/00298INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE  
October 5, 1998PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED  
November 10, 1997

## TITLE OF INVENTION

METHOD FOR CONTROLLING THE TRAFFIC IN AN ATM NETWORK SO AS TO MAINTAIN THE QUALITY OF SERVICE

## APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

Knut Snorre Bach CORNELIUSSEN

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☒ This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and the PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
  - a. ☒ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☒ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US)
6. ☐ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
  - a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
  - d. ☒ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9. ☐ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

## Items 11. to 16. below concern other document(s) or information included:

11. ☐ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☒ A FIRST preliminary amendment.  
☐ A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☒ Other items or information:

## Unexecuted Declaration

International Preliminary Examination Report

PCT Notice Informing the Applicant of the Communication of the International Application to the Designated Offices

PCT Information Concerning Elected Offices Notified of Their Election

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 C.F.R. 1.50) Unassigned <b>09/7530994</b>		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/NO98/00298		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 032292-016	
---	--	---	--	--	--

17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:				CALCULATIONS		PTO USE ONLY	
<b>Basic National Fee (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)):</b> Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$840.00 (970) International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) ..... \$670.00 (956) No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) ..... \$690.00 (958) Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ..... \$970.00 (960) International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4) ..... \$96.00 (962)							
<b>ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</b>							
Surcharge of \$130.00 (154) for furnishing the oath or declaration later than months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).				20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 <input type="checkbox"/>			
Claims	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate				
Total Claims	17 -20 =	-0-	X\$18.00 (966)	\$	-0-		
Independent Claims	1 -3 =	-0-	X\$78.00 (964)	\$	-0-		
Multiple dependent claim(s) (if applicable)				+ \$260.00 (968)		\$ ---	
<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>						\$ 970.00	
Reduction for 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity statement must also be filed. (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28).						\$ ---	
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>						\$ 970.00	
Processing fee of \$130.00 (156) for furnishing the English translation later than months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 <input type="checkbox"/>		\$ ---	
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b>						\$ 970.00	
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 (581) per property +						\$ -0-	
<b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b>						\$ 970.00	
				<b>Amount to be:</b>			
				<b>refunded</b>		\$	
				<b>charged</b>		\$	

a. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 970.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed.


b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4800 in the amount of \$        to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

c. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

**NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.**

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Ronald L. Grudziecki  
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.  
P.O. Box 1404  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404

  
 SIGNATURE  
 Steven M. du Bois  
 NAME  
 35,023  
 REGISTRATION NUMBER

09/530994

413 Rec'd PCT/PTO 09 MAY 2000

Patent

Attorney's Docket No. 032292-016

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of	)	
	)	
Knut Snorre Bach CORNELIUSSEN	)	Group Art Unit: Unassigned
	)	
Application No.: Unassigned	)	Examiner: Unassigned
	)	
Filed: May 9, 2000	)	
	)	
For: METHOD FOR CONTROLLING	)	
THE TRAFFIC IN AN ATM	)	
NETWORK SO AS TO MAINTAIN	)	
THE QUALITY OF SERVICE	)	

**PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**IN THE CLAIMS**

Please amend claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, and 17 as follows:

Claim 3, line 1, delete "or 2".

Claim 4, line 1, delete "any of the claims 1-3" and insert therefor --claim 1--.

Claim 8, line 1, delete "any of the preceding claims" and insert therefor  
--claim 1--.

Claim 9, line 1, delete "any of the preceding claims" and insert therefor  
--claim 1--.

Claim 11, line 1, delete "or 10".

Claim 12, line 1, delete "any of the claims 9-11" and insert therefor --claim 9--.

Claim 14, line 1, delete "any of the preceding claims" and insert therefor  
--claim 1--.

Claim 16, line 1, delete "any of the preceding claims" and insert therefor  
--claim 1--.

Claim 17, line 1, delete "any of the preceding claims" and insert therefor  
--claim 1--.

### REMARKS


The above amendments to the claims have been made in order to eliminate multiple dependencies. For the convenience of the Examiner the following items are submitted with this application:

- A) International PCT Publication
- B) International Preliminary Examination Report
- C) Notice Informing the Applicant of the Communication of the International Application to the Designated Offices
- D) Information Concerning Elected Offices Notified of Their Election

Favorable action on the merits of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

By:   
Steven M. du Bois  
Registration No. 35,023

P.O. Box 1404  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404  
(703) 836-6620

Date: May 9, 2000

METHOD FOR CONTROLLING THE TRAFFIC IN AN ATM NETWORK SO AS TO MAINTAIN THE QUALITY OF SERVICEField of the invention

5

The present invention relates to a method for controlling the traffic in an ATM (Asynchronous Transfer Mode) network so as to maintain the Quality of Service (QoS) thereof by implementing Usage Parameter Control (UPC) comprising at least one leaky bucket unit arranged between an original cell flow of ATM-cells and a switch unit, there being used one counter for each bucket per connection, said counters being incremented and decremented according to predetermine criteria by means of timer counter means.

15

It is to be understood that the present invention finds particular application in connection with billing and policing in ATM based networks.

20

Technical background

## THE PROBLEM

A widely used method for allocating resources in an ATM network is to base the allocation on the PCR (Peak Cell Rate) and the SCR (Sustainable Cell Rate). The values for PCR and SCR are provided by the user of the ATM network during the connection establishment. The values given for PCR and SCR are part of the traffic contract for the given connection. To maintain the QoS on the user's and all the other ATM connections in the network, it is important that the traffic from the users does not exceed their PCR and SCR. The action taken to ensure that the traffic from the users is conform with the traffic contract is called the Usage Parameter Control (UPC). A method for implementing UPC is with a leaky bucket. The

35

idea behind a leaky bucket is shown in ATM Forum's "User-Network Interface Specification" [1]. For Constant Bit Rate (CBR) traffic the UPC can consist of a single leaky bucket.

5

Figure 1 illustrates a single Leaky Bucket arrangement. The bucket is filled according to the bit rate of the traffic sent by the user. It is emptied at fixed time intervals. The size of the bucket is dependent on i.e.  
10 the PCR and CDV (Cell Delay Variation).

The leaky bucket is used to check if the user's traffic is compliant to its PCR, including the possibility of cell delay variation within an agreed bound. For Variable  
15 Bit Rate (VBR) it is proposed that the UPC consists of a dual leaky bucket. The task for the dual leaky bucket is to check that the traffic sent by the user is conform to the combination of PCR, CDV and SCR, BT (Burst Tolerance (BT) is the maximum burst size that can be sent at the  
20 SCR).

A dual leaky bucket is implemented with two buckets, one for checking PCR and CDV, and one for SCR and BT. When overflow occurs in one of the buckets, the traffic from  
25 the user is considered non conforming to the traffic contract. According to the specific network implementation the appropriate action is taken.

Figure 2 illustrates an arrangement wherein the leaky  
30 bucket (single or dual) is placed in front of the switching unit.

The problem with both the single and the dual leaky bucket is to implement them in real time systems. When  
35 the number of connections is large and a high bandwidth is used, there may be difficulties in having time to

perform the various calculations (i.e. compute new bucket values). This is especially a problem when implementing a dual leaky bucket, which requires even more computations.

## 5 Known solutions

One method for implementing a dual leaky bucket is to have two buckets in parallel. There is one counter for each bucket per connection. These bucket counters are  
10 incremented every time a cell for that connections arrives, and it is checked whether the bucket counters are larger than some predefined threshold values. If one of the counter values is above its threshold, the cell is  
15 bucket counter for all the connections is decremented according to a decrement value specific for each channel and bucket.

Another method for implementing a dual leaky bucket is to  
20 have two bucket counters for each connection. This method uses the same mechanism for incrementing the buckets as described above. The difference is that with this method the bucket counters for connections are not decremented at regular time intervals, only when a cell for that  
25 connection is received. To obtain a true value in each of the buckets, a time counter is used for each connection. The time counters holds the last time the bucket counters for their connection were updated.

## 30 Problems with known solutions

The problem with the first method is that the process of decrementing all the bucket counters at regular time  
35 tions is large, high bandwidth is supported, and the time

between each decrement is small, it may be impossible to have time for all these calculations.

In the second method the number of calculations is largely decreased. One problem by using this method is that you need an extra counter for each connection (the time counter). This can be a problem when the number of supported connection is high. The biggest problem with this method is the size of the time counter. When high bandwidths are supported the time counters have to be very accurate. The problem arises when a connection with much lower bandwidth than the maximum allowed bandwidth is policed. Because of the low bandwidth, cells for these connections arrive at a much higher interval than cells belonging to connections of much higher bandwidth. If the time counter is not large enough, overflow in the time counter can occur. This can lead to that cells that are conform with the traffic contract are discarded because an overflow in the time counter has occurred.

US 5 524 006 (Hluchyj et al.) relates to a second-order leaky bucket device and method for traffic management in cell relay networks, wherein the second-order leaky bucket system is utilized in connection with a peak cell rate (PCR) leaky bucket, for thereby substantially providing a predetermined quality of service.

EP-0 658 999-A2 (Dighe/NEC corporation) relates to an ATM network wherein the data frames of the system are controlled by use of "Dual Leaky Bucket" principle.

US 5 295 135 (Kammerl) relates to an arrangement for monitoring the bit rate in ATM networks, wherein the bit rate is monitored and controlled by means of "Dual Leaky Bucket" principle.



US 5 289 462 (Ahmadi et al.) relates to traffic management in packet communications networks, wherein the parameters of a "leaky bucket" are calculated by using a traffic metric system.

5

Objects of the invention

An object of the present invention is to provide a method wherein the dual leaky bucket principle can be implemented in a more efficient manner.

10

Another object of the present invention is to provide a method wherein decrementing of bucket counters can be effected as a simple and fast process.

15

Yet another object of the present invention is to provide a method wherein the priority of the buckets involved are utilised in a far more expedient manner.

20 Still another object of the present invention is to provide a method wherein the amount of needed computations are reduced substantially.

Yet another object of the present invention is to provide a method requiring less storage capacity and only one single time counter for all connections.

25

Still another object of the invention is to provide a method in which the decrement factor can be chosen in a more versatile manner so as to obtain better granularity of the system involved.

30

35

Brief summary of the invention

The above objects are achieved in a method as claimed in the preamble, which according to the present invention is  
5 characterized by the combination of the following steps:  
- decrementing the bucket counters at regular intervals but only when there are no arriving cells, and  
- computing real bucket values for a connection when a cell for said connection arrives.

10

More specifically, said combination of steps are used in connection with two buckets which are arranged in the same process but given different priority, said two buckets preferably being arranged in series.

15

Consequently, by placing the two buckets into the same process the amount of needed computations will be lowered.

20

Further, according to the present invention there is used only a single time counter for all connections involved, rendering the system even more favourable as regards computation time and accuracy.

25

Still further, by giving the different buckets different priority, still more time will be available for decrementing said buckets since the wasting of cells at a first bucket will allow more time for the system for decrementing the buckets involved.

30

Further features and advantages of the present invention will appear from the following description taken in connection with the appended drawings, as well as from the enclosed patent claims.

35

Brief disclosure of the drawings

Fig. 1 is a simplified diagram illustrating the principle of a single leaky bucket arrangement, the bucket here  
5 being filled according to the bit rate of the traffic sent by the user.

Fig. 2 is a schematical diagram illustrating an arrangement of a prior art leaky bucket principle, it being  
10 single or dual, and being placed in the front of an associated switching unit.

Fig. 3 is a schematical diagram illustrating a prior art implementation of a dual leak bucket arrangement.  
15

Fig. 4 is a schematical diagram illustrating an embodiment of a method according to the present invention, wherein the dual bucket principle has been implemented in the process for lowering the amount of needed computations.  
20

Fig. 5 is a schematical block diagram illustrating an embodiment for implementing the invention, said figure comprising the main elements included in a dual leaky bucket unit substantially as illustrated in Fig. 2.  
25

Fig. 6 is a flow sheet illustrating the various steps taken according to the present method in order to increment for example SCR and PCR buckets.  
30

Fig. 7 is a flow diagram illustrating the steps involved according to the present method in order to decrement a PCR and SCR bucket involved therein.  
35

Detailed description of embodiments

It is to be understood that the present method as been developed in connection with principally a dual leaky  
5 bucket arrangement, but it is to be understood that the principle of the present invention can also be applicable to any number of buckets operating in accordance therewith.

10 As mentioned previously, Fig. 1 illustrates a single leaky bucket arrangement according to the prior art. The bucket is filled according to the bit rate of the traffic sent by the user, and it is, according to prior art, emptied at fixed time intervals. The size of the bucket  
15 is dependent on i.e. the Peak Cell Rate (PCR) and the Cell Delay Variation (CDV).

In Fig. 2 there is illustrated a leaky bucket arrangement including single or dual buckets, said buckets being  
20 placed in front of the associated switching unit.

In Fig. 3 there is illustrated an example of how a prior art arrangement can be implemented, i.e. how a new cell is arrived firstly at the PCR Peak Cell Rate bucket for  
25 being checked whether compliant with the filling degree thereof, and thereafter the same new cell is controlled by the SCR Sustainable Cell Rate bucket for being checked to be compliant with also the filling degree thereof, whereafter any non-compliant signal from both buckets are  
30 sent to a decision circuit for making the decision to drop a cell and allow for a new cell to be controlled, or for the passing of said double controlled cell to be transmitted via said switching unit.

35 The arrangement according to Fig. 3 illustrates two buckets in parallel requiring one counter for each bucket

per connection, and the associated bucket counters are incremented every time a cell for that connection arrives, and it is also checked whether the bucket counters are larger than some predefined threshold values.

5

According to this prior art arrangement each bucket counter for all the connections is decremented according to a decrement value specific for each channel and bucket.

10

As mentioned previously, another prior art method for implementing such a dual leaky bucket is to have two bucket counters for each connection, but with this method the bucket counters for connections are not decremented at regular time intervals, only when a cell for that connection is received. To obtain a true value in each of the buckets a time counter must be used for each connection, said time counters holding the last time the bucket counters for the associated connection were updated.

15

Now, turning to Fig. 4, there is illustrated an embodiment of a method according to the present invention which involves a series of advantages compared with the above described prior art.

20

In other words, the present invention is a solution for implementing a dual leaky bucket efficiently. This invention follows some of the principles from [2], but it extends this method to support not only one, but two leaky buckets (called a dual leaky bucket). The idea is:

25  
30

- Decrement the bucket counters at regular intervals (but only when there are no arriving cells).
- Compute real bucket values for a connection, when a cell for that specific connection arrives.

35

- Place the two buckets into the same process to lower the amount of needed computations.
- When using two or more buckets the buckets are arranged in series according to priority.

With reference to the enclosed Figures 4-7 and the enclosed appendix A there will be now given a detailed description of an example of an embodiment according to the present invention.

Firstly, reference is made to Fig. 4 illustrating a simplified basic diagram of an embodiment according to the present invention, whereas Fig. 5 illustrates schematically an embodiment of a dual leaky bucket unit, substantially as illustrated in Fig. 2, but rearranged according to the method of the invention.

The parameters used in the following figures.

- M - The maximum number of different connections.
- m - Time counter, incremented each cell interval modulo M.
- n - The connection number.
- D - Decrement factor. This is the same for all the buckets and connections. The chosen value for D gives you the granularity of the system.

$I_n^{PCR}$  - Increment factor of the PCR bucket for connection n.

$I_n^{PCR} = \text{bandwidth} * (D/PCR).$

$F_n^{PCR}$  - The real value of the PCR bucket for connection n.  $F_n^{PCR}$  is calculated every time a cell belonging to connection n is received.

- $L_n^{PCR}$  - The virtual value of the PCR bucket for connection n.  $L_n^{PCR}$  is incremented by  $I_n^{PCR}$  when a cell for connection n is accepted. It is decremented by  $D \cdot M$  every M'th cell.
- 5  $T_n^{PCR}$  - The threshold value of the PCR bucket for connection n.  
 $T_n^{PCR} = \text{requested bandwidth} \cdot CDV$
- 10  $I_n^{SCR}$  - Increment factor of the SCR bucket for connection n.  
 $I_n^{SCR} = \text{bandwidth} \cdot (D/SCR)$ .
- 15  $F_n^{SCR}$  - The real value of the PCR bucket for connection n.  $F_n^{SCR}$  is calculated every time a cell belonging to connection n is received.
- 20  $L_n^{SCR}$  - The virtual value of the PCR bucket for connection n.  $L_n^{SCR}$  is incremented by  $I_n^{SCR}$  when a cell for connection n is accepted. It is decremented by  $D \cdot M$  every M'th cell.
- 25  $T_n^{SCR}$  - The threshold value of the PCR bucket for connection n.  
 $T_n^{SCR} = \text{requested bandwidth} \cdot BT$ .

#### Description of Figures 4 and 5

Firstly, a cell is read from the Buffer-IN to the One  
 30 cell buffer (marked ❶ in figure 5). The One cell buffer gets the VPI and VCI from the cell, and finds its connection number in the connection table. The One cell buffer then inserts the right connection number in n (marked ❷ in figure 5). The Logical Dual Leaky bucket Unit then  
 35 reads the connection number from n. Then it reads the

- counter values related to connection n from the Counter Table (marked ③ in figure 5). The Logical Dual Leaky Bucket Unit then calculates if the cell is compliant with the traffic contract (marked ④ in figure 5). When the calculation is finished, the Logical Dual Leaky Bucket Unit sends the new computed counter values to Counter Table (marked ⑤ in figure 5). If the cell is compliant, the Logical Dual Leaky Bucket sends a Send Cell signal to the One cell buffer (marked ⑥ in figure 5). If the cell is not compliant, the Logical Dual Leaky Bucket sends a Not Send Cell signal to the One cell buffer. If the One cell buffer received a Send Cell signal from the Logical Dual Leaky Bucket, it passes the cell to the Buffer-OUT (marked ⑦ in figure 5). It then reads a new cell from the Buffer-IN. If the One cell buffer received a Not Send Cell signal from the Logical Dual Leaky Bucket Unit, it reads a new cell from the Buffer-IN that overwrites the old cell.
- 20 In the enclosed Figures 6 and 7, the algorithm used to compute whether a cell is compliant to the traffic contract or not is shown. This algorithm is placed inside the Logical Dual Leaky Bucket Unit in Figure 4.
- 25 The new steps (those exceeding [2]) for supporting a dual leaky bucket will be shown in bold.

It is to be understood that Fig. 6 illustrates the steps necessary to be taken according to the invention in order to increment the SCR and PCR buckets involved in the present embodiment.

Fig. 7 illustrates the steps necessary to be taken in the illustrated embodiment in order to decrement the associated PCR and SCR bucket.



Figure 6 shows in a flow diagram the method for incrementing the PCR and SCR bucket. After a specific time interval the process checks if a cell is waiting to be processed. If there is no cell waiting, the process goes to the decrement bucket state (see figure 7). If a new cell has arrived, the real value for the PCR bucket is calculated. This value is placed in  $F^{PCR}$ . The process then checks whether the real value (located in  $F^{PCR}$ ) is greater than the maximum allowed PCR bucket value,  $T^{PCR}$ . If the real PCR bucket value is greater than the threshold value, a Not Send Cell signal is sent to the One cell buffer (see figure 6). The process then goes to state Decrement bucket (see figure 7). If the real PCR bucket value is equal or lower than the threshold value, the virtual value of the PCR bucket,  $L^{PCR}$ , is incremented by  $I^{PCR}$ . After the process has incremented the virtual value of the PCR bucket, it calculates the real value of the SCR bucket. This value is placed in  $F^{SCR}$ . It then checks whether  $F^{SCR}$  is greater than  $T^{SCR}$ . If the real value is greater than the threshold value, a Not Send Cell signal is sent to the One Cell buffer (see figure 5). If the real value of the SCR bucket is equal or lower than its threshold value, the virtual value of the SCR bucket,  $L^{SCR}$ , is calculated. A Send Cell signal is sent to the One cell buffer (see figure 5), and the process goes to the Decrement bucket state (see figure 7).

In Figure 7 the method for decrementing the buckets is shown. The first thing the process does is to increment the time counter  $m$ . The process then calculates the virtual value of the PCR and SCR bucket for connection number  $m$ . After this calculation the process goes to the Idle state.

A pseudo code example of an implementation of the method is shown in the enclosed Appendix A. This code is written

with emphasis on clarity. It is possible to run the calculation of a single bucket twice to decrease the program size

## 5 ADVANTAGES

With this invention, the number of computations is decreased, because not all buckets are decreased at regular time intervals. This method also resolves the time counter size problem, because buckets counters are decreased even though no cell has arrived on their connection. This method also requires less storage capacity because it only uses a single time counter for all the connections. This method for implementing a dual leaky bucket combines  
10 the two buckets in one process, it therefor lowers the amount of computations and overhead even more.

## BROADENING

20 This method for implementing a dual leaky bucket can also be used as a single leaky bucket. You only have to set the increment value of the second bucket to zero.

## REFERENCES

25

ATM Forum "User-Network Interface (UNI) Specification ver. 3.1." af-unit-0010.002, 09/94.

U.S: Pat.No. 5 361 252 Sällberg and Larsson "Method and device for monitoring channel split data packet transmiss-  
30 sion"

## P a t e n t   c l a i m s

1. Method for controlling the traffic in an ATM  
(Asynchronous Transfer Mode) network so as to maintain  
5 the Quality of Service (QoS) thereof by implementing  
Usage Parameter Control (UPC) comprising at least one  
leaky bucket unit arranged between an original cell flow  
of ATM-cells and a switch unit, there being used one  
counter for each bucket per connection, said counters  
10 being incremented and decremented according to predeter-  
mined criteria by means of timer counter means,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d by the combination of the  
following steps:  
- decrementing the bucket counters at regular intervals  
15 but only when there are no arriving cells, and  
- computing real bucket values for a connection when a  
cell for said connection arrives.
2. Method as claimed in claim 1,  
20 c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that said combination of  
steps are used in connection with two buckets which are  
arranged in the same process but given different priori-  
ty, said two buckets preferably being arranged in  
series.
- 25 3. Method as claimed in claim 1 or 2,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that there is used a PCR  
(Peak Cell Rate) bucket as a first bucket and a SCR  
(Sustainable Cell Rate) bucket as a second bucket, pref-  
30 erably connected in series with said first bucket.
4. Method as claimed in any of the claims 1-3,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d in that there is used a  
dual leaky bucket arrangement comprising an LDLBU (Logi-  
35 cal Dual Leaky Bucket Unit) which is adapted for cal-  
culating whether an arriving ATM-cell is compliant with

the traffic contract, and which performs said calculation after having read the connection number (n) of the ATM-cell in question (cell I+0) and thereafter the counter values related to that connection (n) from a CT (Counter  
5 Table).

5. Method as claimed in claim 4,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that when said calculation is finished the LDLBU will send the new computed  
10 counter values to said CT, and depending on whether the ATM-cell is compliant or not will send a Send Cell signal or Not Send Cell Signal, respectively, to a One Cell buffer being part of said dual leaky bucket arrangement.

15 6. Method as claimed in claim 5,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that if the One Cell buffer receives a Send Cell signal from said logical dual leaky bucket it will pass the cell to a buffer-out unit, whereafter a new cell from a buffer-in unit can be read.

20 7. Method as claimed in claim 5,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that if the One Cell buffer receives a Not Send Cell Signal from the Logical Dual Leaky Bucket Unit then it will read a new cell from  
25 said buffer-in unit that overwrites the old cell.

8. Method as claimed in any of the preceding claims,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the incrementing of the PCR and the SCR of each connection is checked at a  
30 specific time interval (m), said checking including whether there is an ATM-cell waiting to be processed, and that if no cell is waiting the bucket state will be decremented.

35 9. Method as claimed in any of the preceding claims,

characterized in that if a new ATM-cell has arrived, then the real value of the PCR (Peak Cell Rate) bucket is calculated, whereafter said real value is placed in the associated CT (Counter Table), the process  
5 thereafter checking whether the real value thereof is greater than the maximum allowed PCR bucket value ( $T^{PCR}$ ).

10. Method as claimed in claim 9,  
characterized in that if the real PCR  
10 bucket value is greater than a threshold value then a Not Send Cell signal is sent to said One Cell buffer which initiates the process to go to decrement bucket state.

11. Method as claimed in claim 9 or 10,  
15 characterized in that if the real PCR bucket value is equal or lower than said threshold value then the virtual value of said PCR bucket ( $L^{PCR}$ ) will be incremented by an appropriate increment factor ( $I^{PCR}$ ), whereafter the process will calculate the real value of  
20 said SCR bucket which value is placed in the associated CT (Counter Table) as a real value ( $F^{SCR}$ ) for said connection.

12. Method as claimed in any of the claims 9-11,  
25 characterized in that the real value ( $F^{SCR}$ ) of the PCR bucket for a specific connection is checked against the value of the threshold value ( $T^{SCR}$ ) of said PCR bucket for said connection, and if said real value is greater than said threshold value there will be  
30 sent a Not Send Cell signal to said One Cell buffer.

13. Method as claimed in claim 12,  
characterized in that if the real value  
of said SCR bucket is equal or lower than its threshold  
35 value, then the virtual value ( $L^{SCR}$ ) of said SCR bucket is calculated and a Send Cell signal is sent to said One


Cell buffer, whereafter the process goes to the decrement bucket state.

14. Method as claimed in any of the preceding claims,  
5 c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the decrementing of  
said buckets takes place by firstly incrementing said  
time counter (m) for thereafter calculating the virtual  
value of said PCR and SCR bucket, respectively, for said  
actual connection number (m), after which calculation the  
10 process goes to an idle state.


15. Method as claimed in claim 14,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the virtual value  
of any PCR bucket for any connection (n) is decremented  
15 by  $D \cdot M$  every M'th cell.

16. Method as claimed in any of the preceding claims,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that there is used only  
a single time counter for all the connections involved.  
20


17. Method as claimed in any of the preceding claims,  
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the increment value  
of a second bucket is varied according to appropriate  
criteria, and more specifically by setting the increment  
25 value to zero, possibly for using said method as a single  
leaky bucket.



**Figure 1** A single Leaky Bucket. The bucket is filled according to the bit rate of the traffic sent by the user. It is emptied at fixed time intervals. The size of the bucket is dependent on i.e. the PCR and CDV.



**Figure 2** The leaky bucket (single or dual) is placed in front of the switching unit.










FIG. 4



**Figure 5** A Schematically shown device for carrying out the invention. This figure is the inside of a Dual Leaky Bucket Unit shown in figure 2.



**Figure 7** State diagram showing the actions taken in this invention to decrement the PCR and SCR bucket.




Figure 6 State diagram showing the actions taken in this invention to increment the SCR and PCR buckets.

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

METHOD FOR CONTROLLING THE TRAFFIC IN AN ATM NETWORK SO AS TO MAINTAIN THE QUALITY OF SERVICE

the specification of which (check only one item below):

☐ is attached hereto.

☐ was filed as United States application

Number \_\_\_\_\_

on \_\_\_\_\_

and was amended

on \_\_\_\_\_ (if applicable).

☒ was filed as PCT international application

Number PCT/NO98/00298

on October 5, 1998

and was amended

on \_\_\_\_\_ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(e) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:

**PRIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATION(S) AND ANY PRIORITY CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119:**

COUNTRY (if PCT, indicate "PCT")	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119
Norway	19975152	10 November 1997	<u>X</u> Yes    _ No
			_ Yes    _ No
			_ Yes    _ No
			_ Yes    _ No
			_ Yes    _ No

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Application Number)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Filing Date)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Application Number)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) or PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America that is/are listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in that/those prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to me to be material to the patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56, which became available between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

PRIOR U.S. APPLICATIONS OR PCT INTERNATIONAL APPLICATIONS DESIGNATING THE U.S. FOR BENEFIT UNDER 35 U.S.C. §120:

U.S. APPLICATIONS		STATUS (check one)		
U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	U.S. FILING DATE	PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
PCT APPLICATIONS DESIGNATING THE U.S.				
PCT APPLICATION NO.	PCT FILING DATE	U.S. APPLICATION NUMBERS ASSIGNED (if any)		

I hereby appoint the following attorneys and agent(s) to prosecute said application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and to file, prosecute and to transact all business in connection with international applications directed to said invention:

William L. Mathis	17,337	R. Danny Huntington	27,903	Gerald F. Swiss	30,113
Robert S. Swecker	19,885	Eric H. Weisblatt	30,505	Michael I. Urc	33,089
Platon N. Mandros	22,124	James W. Peterson	26,057	Charles F. Wieland III	33,096
Benton S. Duffett, Jr.	22,030	Teresa Stanek Rea	30,427	Bruce T. Wieder	33,815
Norman H. Stepno	22,716	Robert E. Krebs	25,885	Todd R. Walters	34,040
Ronald L. Grudziecki	24,970	William C. Rowland	30,888	Ronni S. Jillions	31,979
Frederick G. Michaud, Jr.	26,003	T. Gene Dillahunt	25,423	Harold R. Brown III	36,341
Alan E. Kopecki	25,813	Patrick C. Keane	32,858	Allen R. Baum	36,086
Regis E. Slutter	26,999	Bruce J. Boggs, Jr.	32,344	Steven M. du Bois	35,023
Samuel C. Miller, III	27,360	William H. Benz	25,952	Brian P. O'Shaughnessy	32,747
Robert G. Mukai	28,531	Peter K. Skiff	31,917	Kenneth B. Leffler	36,075
George A. Hovanec, Jr.	28,223	Richard J. McGrath	29,195	Fred W. Hathaway	32,236
James A. LaBarre	28,632	Matthew L. Schneider	32,814		
E. Joseph Gess	28,510	Michael G. Savage	32,596		



21839

and:

Address all correspondence to:



21839

Ronald L. Grudziecki  
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.  
P.O. Box 1404  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404

Address all telephone calls to: Steven M. du Bois at (703) 836-6620.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

**COMBINED DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY (CONT'D)**  
(Includes Reference to Provisional and PCT International Applications)

Attorney's Docket No.

032292-016

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR		SIGNATURE	DATE
Knut Snorre Bach CORNELIUSSEN			5/7-00
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
Oslo, Norway		Norwegian	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
Hegdehaugsveien 35, N-0352 Oslo, Norway			
FULL NAME OF SECOND JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY		SIGNATURE	DATE
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
FULL NAME OF THIRD JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY		SIGNATURE	DATE
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
FULL NAME OF FOURTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY		SIGNATURE	DATE
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
FULL NAME OF FIFTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY		SIGNATURE	DATE
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
FULL NAME OF SIXTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY		SIGNATURE	DATE
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
FULL NAME OF SEVENTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY		SIGNATURE	DATE
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			
FULL NAME OF EIGHTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY		SIGNATURE	DATE
RESIDENCE		CITIZENSHIP	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			

## APPENDIX A

Pseudo code for the efficient  
dual leaky bucket implementation.

```

5      Begin
      Repeat
        Wait(t)
        If (New cell)
10      Begin
        PCR: If (m >= n) Then
          FPCRn := LPCRn - D * (m - n)
          Else
            FPCRn := LPCRn - D * (M + m - n)
15      If (FPCRn >= 0) Then
          If ((TPCRn - FPCRn) >= 0) Then
            Begin
              LPCRn := LPCRn + IPCRn
              to
                End
                Else
                  /*Cell conforming
                    Traffic contract*/
20      Else
                  /*Cell not conforming
                    to traffic contract*/

25      Else
        Begin
          If (m >= n) Then
            LPCRn := IPCRn + D * (m - n)
            Else
30      LPCRn := IPCRn + D * (M + m - n) /*Cell conforming to
              Traffic contract*/
          End
        End
        SCR: If (m >= n) Then
35      FSCRn := LSCRn - D * (m - n)
          Else
            FSCRn := LSCRn - D * (M + m - n)
            If (FSCRn >= 0) Then
              If ((TSCRn - FSCRn) >= 0) Then
40      Begin
                LSCRn := LSCRn + ISCRn
                /*Cell conforming to
                  Traffic contract*/
              End
            Else
45      Goto DEC
                /*Cell not conforming to
                  traffic contract*/
            Else
              Begin
                If (m >= n) Then
50      LSCRn := ISCRn + D * (m - n)
                Else
                  LSCRn := ISCRn + D * (M + m - n) /*Cell conforming to
                    Traffic contract*/
              End
            End
55      End

```

```
DEC: Begin
  m := (m + 1) MOD M
  LPCRm := LPCRm - M * D
  If (LPCRm < 0) Then
5    LPCR := 0
    LSCRm := LSCRm - M * D
    If (LSCRm < 0) Then
      LSCR := 0
    End
10 Forever
  End
```